

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,166		09/19/2003	Thomas A. Egolf	67,008-079; S-5696	7101	
26096	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	KEY & OLDS, F	.C.	HOLZEN, STEPHEN A		
400 WEST SUITE 350		ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMING		II 48009		3644		
				DATE MAIL ED. 09/25/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summer	10/666,166	EGOLF, THOMAS A.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Stephen A. Holzen	3644						
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Control after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 August 2004.							
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.							
Application Papers	, ar							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage						
Attachment(s)	, <b>.</b>	(DTO, 440)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>	4) ∐ Interview Su 8) Paper No(s),	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/9/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20 under 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lippisch (2,743,888). Lippisch discloses a vortex generator having a plurality of vorticity generating protuberances parallel to the longitudinal axis, between the upper and lower surfaces of the aerodynamic surface (see Figure 1).
  - a. Re Claims 5 and 14: Lippisch discloses retractable/extending protuberances in Figure 3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3644

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3, 4, 8, 10, 12, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lippisch in view of Wake (5,785,282). Lippisch discloses every aspect of the applicant's invention except wherein the vorticity generating protuberances extend from the tip of a helicopter blade. Wake et al however teaches that it is well known in the art to use a sub-wing on the tip of a rotor blade of a helicopter. (see Col. 2, lines 16-22) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaches of Wake et al into the device of Lippisch for the purpose of mitigating the BVI noise radiated from the main rotor assembly of a helicopter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 703-308-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone can be reached on 703 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,166

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER

Page 4